## Appendix B: Example for Laboratory Notebook Entry

27

Lab 25: Experimental Estimate for Gravitational Acceleration at the Surface of the Earth

Thursday, September 25, 2014 Lab Partner: Michael Faraday

Purpose: Determine a value for gravitational acceleration "g" by measuring the time taken for a mass to fall a known distance and then using equation for distance fallen under constant acceleration (S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  g t<sup>2</sup>) to determine a value for g. Apparatus:

> Equipment used: • A two-meter ruler

- Stop watch
- Marble

The two-meter ruler is held vertically by a stand. The marble is held at the desired height S and then released while simultaneously starting the stop watch. Stop watch is stopped when marble hits the floor.

		Data ta	ken:				
	S =						
	(meters)	2.00	1.80	1.60	1.40	1.20	Table
		0.71	0.65	0.57	0.51	0.50	100000
	measured	0.61	0.63	0.63	0.61	0.51	marbl
	fall times	0.70	0.55	0.62	0.49	0.48	S = 2.0
	t (sec)	0.59	0.61	0.59	0.53	0.47	
		0.61	0.59	0.52	0.48	0.52	In eac
		0.62	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.47	were t
		0.61	0.64	0.59	0.54	0.53	consis
		0.63	0.57	0.59	0.56	0.39	corogog
		0.56	0.61	0.53	0.50	0.45	and si
		0.59	0.65	0.57	0.57	0.51	stop w
		0.62	0.65	0.65	0.53	0.51	2007
		0.72	0.64	0.54	0.59	0.56	
4	mean	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.54	0.49	
1	std	0.047143	0.031976	0.036795	0.038322	0.040351	
	stdmean	0.013609	0.009231	0.010622	0.011063	0.011648	

S

## TABLEI

Table I shows timing data for marble dropped from heights S = 2.0, 1.8, 1.6, 1.4, and 1.2 m.In each case 12 measurements were taken. Each measurement consists of releasing the marble and simultaneously starting the stop watch and then stopping the

stop u	vatch wh	en I hea	r the	marble	hit the	e floor.
Analy	jsis of Da	uta:				
		TABLE				The individual time
S	=	TAULL				measurements from Table 1
(meters	s) 2.00			1.40	1.20	
	0.50			0.26	0.25	are all squared and the
	0.37			0.37	0.26	mean value of the squared
square o	of 0.49			0.24	0.23	times is determined for
measure	ed 0.35			0.28	0.22	
fall time	es 0.37	0.35	0.27	0.23	0.27	each arop neight S.
t² (sec ²	) 0.38	0.34	0.31	0.34	0.22	
	0.37	0.41	0.35	0.29	0.28	Table III gives summary of
	0.40	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.15	
	0.31	0.37	0.28	0.25	0.20	the arop neights and
	0.35	0.42	0.32	0.32	0.26	squared times:
	0.38	0.42	0.42	0.28	0.26	
	0.52	0.41	0.29	0.35	0.31	TABLE III
mean	0.40	0.38	0.34	0.29	0.24	+ <sup>2</sup> / <sup>2</sup> ) C (motors)
std	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	t (sec) s (meters)
stdmear	n 0.018378	0.011639 0	.012907	0.012513 (	0.011527	0.4 2
						0.38 1.8
Wene	ext plot a	araph c	of drow	2 heigh	t vs.	0.34 1.6
						0.29 1.4
squar	ea time i	ma obra	un the	ejouow	ing:	0.24 1.2
		6.40	<b>⊾</b> 2			
		<b>3 VS</b> .				
						We used the trend line
2.5						we used the trend line
2.5					•	We used the trend line and Linest functions in
2.5	y = 2	1.846x			<b>&gt;</b>	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit
2.5 2 1.5	y = 2	1.846x			<b>&gt;</b>	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the
2.5 2 1.5 1	y = 2	1.846x	***	-	<b>*</b>	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data
2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5	y = 4	1.846x	***		<b>&gt;</b>	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data.
2.5 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	y = 2	1.846x	**		<b>&gt;</b>	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data.
2.5 2 (meters) 1.5 1.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	y = 2	1.846x		3		We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV
2.5 2 1.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y = 2	1.846x	0.	3 (	) .4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744
2.5 2 1.5 0 0 0 0.5 0.5	y = 2 0.1	4.846x	0. ec²)	3 (	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 4.025 0.140521
2.5 2 1.5 0.5 -0.5 0	y = 4	4.846x	0. 2c <sup>2</sup> )	3 (	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 0.44025 0.140521 error 0.976.26 0.054984
2.5 2 1.5 0.5 -0.5	y = 4	4.846x	0. ec²)	3 (	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 0.04025 0.140521 error 0.977.26 0.054984 129.3077 3
2.5 2 1.5 0.5 -0.5	y = 4	4.846x	0. ec <sup>2</sup> )	3 (0 BLE IV	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 6.01025 0.140521 error 0.977 26 0.054984 129.3077 3 0.39093 0.00907
2.5 2 1.5 0.5 0 0.5 0 0 0	y = 4	4.846x	0. 2c <sup>2</sup> ) TAB	3 ( 3 ( 3 (	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 6.45025 0.140521 error 0.977.26 0.054984 129.3077 3 0.39093 0.00907
2.5 2 1.5 0.5 -0.5	y = 4	4.846x 0.2 t <sup>2</sup> (se	0. 2c <sup>2</sup> ) TAB	3 (1) 3 (1)	).4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 0.4125 0.140521 error 3 0.39093 0.00907
2.5 2 1.5 1.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y = 4	4.846x 0.2 t <sup>2</sup> (se	0. 2c <sup>2</sup> ) TAB	3 (0 BLE IV 4.846003 0.063918 0.989205	0 #N/A	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 0.41025 0.140521 error 0.977.26 0.054984 129.3077 3 0.39093 0.00907
2.5 2 1.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y = 4	4.846x 0.2 t <sup>2</sup> (se Slope error	0. 2c <sup>2</sup> ) TAB	3 (0 3LE IV 4.846003 0.063918 0.999305 5740.007	0.4	We used the trend line and Linest functions in Excel to find the best fit straight line for the data. TABLE IV Slope 4.767442 0.026744 0.41025 0.140521 error 0.977.26 0.054984 129.3077 3 0.39093 0.00907

29

We also used the Linest function in Excel to determine errors of best fit, summarized in Table IV (previous page). So the slope m and its uncertainty  $\Delta m$  are  $m \pm \Delta m = \frac{4.7674 \pm 0.4192 \text{ m/s}^2}{2}$ 

$$= 4.846 \pm 0.064 \text{ m/s}^{2}$$

Using the fact that  $S = \frac{1}{2}gt^2$ , the slope of the best fit line of S vs.  $t^2$  should be  $\frac{1}{2}g$ .

$$g(m) = 2 m = 2 (4.846) = 9.692 m/s^2$$

The uncertainty in g is determined by the method given in the lab manual (see Appendix):

$$\Delta g = g(m + \Delta m) - g(m)$$
  
= 2 (4.846 + 0.064) - 2 (4.846) = 0.128 m/y<sup>2</sup>.

Using the rules in the lab manual for reporting a result, our final result is

$$g = 9.69 \pm 0.13 \text{ m/s}^2$$

Conclusion:

We were able to experimentally determine a value for the acceleration due to gravity at the Earth's surface, i.e. "g". Our measured value was

$$g = 9.69 \pm 0.13 \text{ m/s}^2$$

This value is consistent with values given in our text and the value of "g" given in the lab manual

gaccepted = 9.806 65 m/s2.