

# Lecture Notes for CSCI 311: Algorithms

## Set 5-Recurrences

Professor Talmage

February 9, 2026

---

### 1 Recursion Trees

Recall MergeSort:

---

```
1: function MERGESORT( $X = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$ )
2:   if  $|X| \leq 1$  then return  $X$ 
3:   end if
4:    $Left = [x_1, \dots, x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}]$ 
5:    $Right = [x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}, \dots, x_n]$ 
6:    $L = \text{MergeSort}(Left)$ 
7:    $R = \text{MergeSort}(Right)$ 
8:   return Merge( $L, R$ )
9: end function
```

---


Consider the runtime of MergeSort. This is not entirely obvious, as we cannot express it without the runtime of the recursive calls to MergeSort on lines 6 and 7. If we name this, we can use it, however. Let  $T(n)$  denote the runtime of MergeSort on input of size  $n$ . then

$$T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(n - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n) + O(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

- The two “halves” differ from  $n/2$  by at most 1, so it works out to ignore the small difference. Another perspective is that this math works exactly when  $n$  is a power of 2, and the in-between cases do not cost exorbitantly more. See Section 4.6 of the textbook for detailed analysis.
- The two  $O(n)$  terms come from splitting and merging the sorted lists. While it is possible to split in place and reduce that cost to  $O(1)$ , we see in the last equality that it does not reduce the asymptotic growth of  $T(n)$  because of the  $O(n)$  cost to merge two sorted lists.

This expression for  $T(n)$ , while it does contain all the costs of the pseudocode, is not sufficient. We need to reduce it further, eliminating the recursive dependency, to find a *closed-form expression* for  $T(n)$ . To do this, we will start by expanding it a few times.

- We need to replace the anonymous asymptotic functions, or we can break the substitution for  $T(n)$  by growing constants hidden in the big- $O$ .
- If a function is  $O(n)$ , then for sufficiently large  $n$ , it is less than or equal to  $cn$ , for some constant  $c$ , so we can replace  $O(n)$  with  $cn$ .



Note that each node in the tree is either replaced by substituting the recurrence again or is non-recursive (closed form). If we add all the leaves, we will get the total value of the function.

1. Add the non-recursive costs at each level.
2. Look for a pattern. Here, each level sums to  $cn$ .
  - Logically, at each level of the tree, each element will be part of one split and one merge across all the recursive calls, so  $O(n)$  is reasonable for the sum.
3. Sum all levels
  - (a) Recursion stops when we get to  $T(1)$ .  $T(1) = O(1)$ , since we do not need to sort anything.
  - (b) We reach  $T(1)$  when  $\frac{n}{2^i} = 1$ , which is when  $i = \log_2 n$ .
  - (c) We will have  $2^i = 2^{\log_2 n} = n$  leaves, each  $O(1)$  at that lowest level.
4. Total is thus cost per level times number of levels, plus the cost of the  $T(1)$  leaves:

$$T(n) = (cn)(\log_2 n) + (n)(O(1)) = O(n \log n)$$

This is the *Recursion Tree* method for solving recurrences. It is good for building intuition when the recurrence behaves fairly nicely.

**Aside:** We will generally assume that  $T(1) = O(1)$  for any algorithm. Thus, when solving recurrences, if there is no base case given, you can assume that once the input size is constant, the runtime will be constant.

**Exercise:** Find a closed-form, asymptotic upper bound for the following recurrences:


1.  $T(n) = 8T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$
2.  $T(n) = 3T(n/9) + \Theta(n^4)$
3.  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$

**Solution:**

1. First, we remove the anonymous asymptotic, limiting our attention to upper bounds (as directed):  $T(n) \leq 8T(n/2) + cn^2$ , for some constant  $c > 0$ . We can then draw a few levels of the recursion tree and look for patterns. It is important to be careful with the substitution at each level, and to keep the coefficient of each  $T(\dots)$  term, as that coefficient grows, and apply

**Solution, continued**

it to both child nodes.



We can now solve for the number of levels,  $k$ . The base case is assumed to be  $T(1) = O(1)$ , so we need to find  $k$  s.t.  $n/2^k = 1$ . This is true when  $k = \log_2 n$ . Substituting this into the exponent of the coefficient 8, we get  $8^{\log_2 n} O(1) = O(n^3)$  for the recursive portion of the runtime.

Next, we sum the non-recursive costs from each level:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 n} 8^{i-1} c \left(\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right)^2 &= cn^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} \left(\frac{8}{2^2}\right)^j \\ &= cn^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} 2^j \end{aligned}$$

If we extended this sum to infinity, it would diverge, so we cannot do that. What we can do is notice that the last term of the sum is  $2^{\log_2 n - 1} = n/2$ . If we reverse the sum, we find that it equals  $n/2 + n/4 + \dots + 2 + 1$ . This is a geometric series with a constant factor of  $1/2 < 1$ , so we can apply the geometric sum rule.


$$\begin{aligned} cn^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} 2^j &= cn^2 \left(\frac{n/2}{1 - 1/2}\right) \\ &= cn^2(n) = cn^3 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we add the recursive and non-recursive costs to get the total value of  $T(n)$ :

$$T(n) \leq O(n^3) + cn^3 = O(n^3)$$

2.  $T(n) = 3T(n/9) + \Theta(n^4)$

Again, we remove the anonymous asymptotic, yielding  $T(n) \leq 3T(n/9) + cn^4$ , for some constant  $c$ . Drawing the tree:

**Solution, continued**

Solving for the number of levels, we will continue at most until  $n = 1$ , which occurs when  $n/9^k = 1$ , or  $k = \log_9 n$ . The recursive cost is thus  $3^{\log_9 n} * O(1) = O(n^{\log_9 3}) = O(n^{.5})$ .

For the non-recursive costs, we sum across the levels:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\log_9 n} 3^{i-1} \left(\frac{n}{9^{i-1}}\right)^4 &= n^4 \sum_{i=1}^{\log_9 n} \frac{3^{i-1}}{9^{4(i-1)}} \\ &= n^4 \sum_{i=1}^{\log_9 n} 3^{-7i+7} \end{aligned}$$

This sum is a geometric series starting at one and with common factor  $3^{-7}$ . We extend the sum to infinity for convenience (which adds only a very small constant factor), yielding  $n^4 \frac{1}{1-3^{-7}} = O(n^4)$ , since the fraction is a constant slightly more than 1.

To get the total value of the function, we add the recursive and non-recursive costs:  $O(n^{.5}) + O(n^4) = O(n^4)$ .

There are two other general methods to solve a recurrence we will use in this course:

1. Recursion Tree: Draw a few levels of expanding the recurrence, look for patterns, sum recursive (base case) and non-recursive costs.
2. Substitution: Once you have a guess for a bound, prove with induction.
  - Substitution can be used for guess and check, or pairs well with the recursion tree method to prove that that intuition is correct.
3. Master Theorem: Doesn't *always* apply, but usually does and is the easiest, most reliable method.

## 2 Substitution

Since induction is the inverse of recursion, induction is a great way to prove a property of a recurrence (such as a bound). These proofs follow a pretty standard formula. Note that we will prove the slightly stronger claim that  $T(n) \leq dg(n)$ , instead of directly proving big-Oh, as defining  $d$  gives us a very useful lever.

**Example: Mergesort** We can verify our claim that  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ . Recall that the runtime function of MergeSort is  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$ .

**Claim 1.**  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn = O(n \log n)$

*Proof.* We will show that  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$  is less than or equal to  $dn \log n$  for some positive constant  $d$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

- BC:  $T(2) = 2T(1) + c(2) \leq d(2) \log(2)$  for sufficiently large  $d$ . This follows since  $T(1)$  is constant. We start our induction at 2, because  $\log 1 = 0$ . This means that we also need  $n = 3$  as a base case, so that our dependence on  $n/2$  will be covered.

$$T(3) = T(1) + T(2) + c(3) = 3T(1) + 5c \leq d(3) \log(3) \text{ for sufficiently large } d.$$

- IH: Assume that for an arbitrary  $n > 3$ , for all  $2 \leq k < n$ ,  $T(k) \leq dk \log k$ . (Note that we need strong induction to assume  $n/2$ .)
- IS:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq 2T(n/2) + cn \\ &\leq 2\left(d\frac{n}{2} \log \frac{n}{2}\right) + cn \\ &= dn(\log n - \log 2) + cn \\ &= dn \log n + (c - d \log 2)n \end{aligned}$$

This is  $\leq dn \log n$  if  $d \log 2 \geq c$ , which is true for sufficiently large  $d$ . Thus, we have the claim by strong induction, and  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ . □

**Exercise:** Prove that  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + 18n + 5$  is  $O(n^2)$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $T(n) \leq dn^2$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

- BC:  $4T(1) + 18(1) + 5 = 4a + 23$  for some constant  $T(1) = a$ . This is less than or equal to  $d(1^2)$  for  $d \geq 4a + 23$ , a constant.
- IH: Assume  $T(k) \leq dk^2$  for all  $1 \leq k < n$ .
- IS:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + 18n + 5 \\ &\leq 4\left(d\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\right) + 18n + 5 \\ &\leq dn^2 + 18n + 4 \\ &\not\leq dn^2 \end{aligned}$$

The induction fails at this point. While we do have  $T(n) = O(dn^2)$ , we have increased the hidden constants in the big-Oh. At each level of recursion, these constants would grow, possible enough to be overall more than a constant factor. Besides, they are constants, so should not be growing.

We can fix this by changing our specific claim. If  $T(n) \leq dn^2 - fn$ , for  $d$  and  $f$  constants, then  $T(n) = O(n^2)$ . By subtracting the lower-order term, we are actually strengthening our claim, which is counterintuitively easier to prove.

- BC:  $4T(1) + 18(1) + 5 = 4a + 23$  for some constant  $T(1) = a$ . This is less than or equal to  $d(1^2) - f(1)$  for appropriate  $d, f$ .

- IH: Assume  $T(k) \leq dn^2 - fn$  for all  $1 \leq k < n$ .
- IS:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 4T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 18n + 5 \\
 &\leq 4\left(d\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - f(n/2)\right) + 18n + 5 \\
 &\leq dn^2 - 2fn + 18n + 5 \\
 &\leq (dn^2 - fn) + (18 - f)n + 5
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $f = 23$ , then for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(18 - f)n + 5 \leq 0$ , so we have  $T(n) \leq dn^2 - fn$ . Thus, by strong induction,  $T(n) = O(n^2)$ . □

In general, if you are doing an inductive proof of a recurrence and find yourself with extra terms preventing you from showing the required inequality, strengthen your claim by subtracting a lower-order term that will cancel out the extra terms.

### 3 Master Theorem

**Theorem 1.** Let  $a \geq 1, b > 1$  be constants,  $f(n)$  a positive function, and  $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $T(n)$  is bounded as follows:

1. If  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ .
2. If  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^k n)$  for a constant  $k > 0$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^{k+1} n)$ .
  - Most often,  $k = 0$ , and we have  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , which gives  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .
3. If  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ .
  - if  $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$  for some constant  $c < 1$  and sufficiently large  $n$ .

#### Usage:

- Extract  $a, b, f$  from recurrence.
- Compare  $f(n)$  vs  $n^{\log_b a}$ :
  1.  $f(n)$  smaller: recursive cost dominates:  $\Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ .
  2. nearly equal, only log-factor difference: recursive and non-recursive costs balance, extra log  $n$  factor:  $\Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^{k+1} n) = \Theta(f(n) \log^{k+1} n)$ .
  3.  $f(n)$  larger: non-recursive costs dominate:  $\Theta(f(n))$ .

**Exercise:** Use the Master Theorem to find closed-form expressions for each of the following:

(a)  $T(n) = 3T(n/9) + \Theta(n^4)$

(b)  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 17n$

(c)  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n^3$

(d)  $T(n) = 3T(n/4) + n \log n$

(e)  $T(n) = 2T(n/4) + \sqrt{n}$

Be careful: the Master Theorem does not always apply!

- For cases (1) and (3), there must be a polynomial difference between  $f$  and  $n^{\log_b a}$ .
- Consider  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \frac{n}{\log n}$ .
- Difference is a factor of  $\log^{-1} n$ , which is smaller than  $n^\epsilon$  for any positive  $\epsilon > 0$ , but does not fit in case (2), since  $k < 0$ .
- Rule 3 also has an extra condition that can make the theorem not apply when  $a$  is large and  $b$  is small.